Data for Research a comparative overview



Contents

- The basis: EC Directive 46/95
- Did not harmonise:
 - □ definitions;
 - □ concrete applications
- A scheme with some distinctions
- Some examples

How to proceed further ??



EC Directive, 1995

 Basis: freedom of services within in the EC, various data protection regimes would hamper this (not data protections as such)

Long drafting process

- Has not been revised since
 - Contrary to much other EC legislation, like pharma

 Directive must be implemented in national legislation



Free flow of p.data within the EC

Legislation of 'controller' applies

□ Controller vs. processor

 Other country cannot make objections if controller complies with national legislation (even in data are processed in that other country)



Principles of Directive

- Use for a specific purpose; other purposes compatible
- In principle consent
 - Legal obligation
 - □ Contract..
- Transparency
- Proportionality
- Sensitive data special protection

Exemptions: a.o. research



Scheme of relevant issues

- Scope of application
- Type of exemptions for medical research
- Use of civil registration number (if any)
- Authorisations if any of National Data Protection Authority (DPA)

Personal data according to Directive

principles of protection must apply to any information concerning an identified or identifiable person; whereas, to determine whether a person is identifiable, account should be taken of all the means likely reasonably to be used either by the controller or by any other person to identify the said person;





Indirectly identifiableDirectly identifiable

□ Level of aggregation decides

Coded data
 One way or two way coding
 Two way most important
 Different category



Scheme types a data

Anonymous		P. D.	P. Data		
Ordinary	Coded	Indirectly identifiable	Directly (NAW)		
1		Coded or non coded			

Med Law consult

National differences

- What are p. data
 Principles or contextual approach
- Exemptions on consent for research with p. medical data
 Most countries do, but differs widely

Use of genetic data as special category (in the law)

Exceptions on consent

Dutch

 anonymous data which are coded do not become p. data, makes TTP very interesting

If it infeasible (very difficult) to ask consent
 Use indirectly identifiable data
 Patient should not have objected in general

If it impossible to ask consent
 Even directly identifiable data
 Patient should not have objected



Exceptions on consent, 2

Belgium:

- Coded data are p. data
- More or less the same but not system for opting out and the DPAuthority should give permission (in holland not, ethics committee)

France :

Coded data are p. data
 Much more difficult, but officially the CNIL can grant exceptions



Exceptions 3

Austria:

Data may considered anonymous if researcher would have to use illegal means

Derogations from consent possible

Denmark

- □ Are p. data
- Can always be used without consent if DPA has given permission:
 - Rendered semi anonymous: indirectly identifiable and coded

Med Law con



Scheme consent research with residual tissue

	specifie k	Mutliple choice	General	Opt-out	Exception.
Un.	X				X
RvE		X			
Fr.	X, prot.		/		
Swd/Nrw			X, ziekte		De facto
UK			X		X
Den				x	
Dutch Code				X	

Med Law consult

Way forward

Pragmataic

Use concept of controller
 PET's , like TTP constructions, contractual arrangements

More principled level.....Rethinking concept of consent

